



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/550,462	04/17/2000	Pradeep Dubey	YO999-364US1	YO999-364US1 1737	
30743	7590 06/21/2004		EXAMI	NER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			. KIM, JUNG W		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20190			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

•	<i>ب</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/550,462	DUBEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Jung W Kim	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ag					
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 have been examined. The applicant in the amendment filed on April 27, 2004 has amended claims 2 and 5.

Response to Amendment

2. The objection to the specification is withdrawn as the amendment overcomes the objection.

Response to Arguments

- The following is a response to the applicant arguments listed on pages 11-of the amendment filed on April 27, 2004.
- 4. Applicant's argument, see page 12-13, with respect to the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 1 has been fully considered and is persuasive. The 112 rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 103(a) rejection of claim 1 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the prior art of record does not teach receiver anonymity from the sender (see amendment, page 14, 3rd paragraph-page 16, 2nd full paragraph). However, as taught by Reiter, jondo alias is a means to mask or hide the identity of a client in a crowd (see Reiter, page 7, section 4, Crowd Overview). This technique is analogous to

Art Unit: 2132

the ubiquitous implementation of a proxy name/server for a client in which any user that connects to the client accesses the client by means of the proxy name. Hence, Reiter covers the limitation of receiver anonymity as specified in the preamble of the claim, wherein the receiver is a member of the crowd.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. "Anonymous Connections and Onion Routing" (hereinafter Reed) in view of Reiter et al. "Crowds: Anonymity for Web Transactions" (hereinafter Reiter) and Schneier Applied Cryptography 2nd Edition (hereinafter Schneier). As per claim 1. Reed discloses a method for communication between two entities in a set of clients across a network such that no third party is able to trace the communication (see Reed, page 2, Section 2 'Onion Routing Overview') comprising the steps of:
 - providing a set of Forwarding Agents (FAs) (see Reed, page 2, a. Section 2.1. 1st paragraph, 'onion proxy', 3rd paragraph);
 - providing each of the FAs with its own pair of public and private b. keys for encryption and decryption, respectively, where the underlying

Art Unit: 2132

cryptosystem scheme is a commutative public key cryptosystem (see Reed, pages 7 and 8, Section 5.5 'Onions', 'RSA public key cryptography');

- c. delivering a message through a sequence of FAs (see Reed, page
- 2, Section 2.1 'Operational Overview', 1st paragraph);
- d. finding by the last FA in the sequence a visible network address and sending the message on to this address (see Reed, page 9, Section 5.7, 'Exit Funnel').
- 8. Reed is silent on the matter of each Forwarding Agent belonging to at least one group, wherein the client selects one of these groups and a message is passed randomly to a subset of FAs of this group. As taught by Reed, prior to message transmission, Onion routing initially specifies a predetermined node path to traverse from an initiator to a responder. However, transmission flows through randomly selected FAs within a defined set of FAs is a method that has been known in the art at the time the invention was made to further hide the transmission between two hosts as perceived by an unscrupulous third party. This system is called crowds and is disclosed by Reiter. Reiter teaches that crowd systems implement a group of n FAs associated with a client wherein a transmission from the client to a responder is transmitted first through a selected Forwarding Agent S, then through a randomly selected subset of the n FAs associated with the client (see Reiter, pages 7-8, Section 4, Crowd Overview). The number of FAs that are traversed by the transmission is influenced by modifying a variable of a function that determines the expected length of a

Art Unit: 2132

transmission path: this variable is the probability that a FA will forward to another FA of the group; this flexibility enables parameters to establish different types of groups in the routing methodology to match different anonymity/security requirements, (see Reiter, page 16, 3rd paragraph). Hence, by utilizing a commutative encryption algorithm (RSA is implemented for encrypting transmission information, such as destination address in the Reed invention: see Reed, page 7-8, Section 5.5 'Onions', 'RSA public key cryptography'), it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Reiter to the invention of Reed. Motivation for such an implementation would ensure a greater degree of anonymity of the sender as taught by Reiter (see Reiter, Abstract).

- 9. In addition, Reiter discloses steps to anonymously register a client to a FA including adding a "jondo account name", a network address (see Reiter, page 19, 2nd paragraph), and as mentioned above, in an alternative embodiment, a group selected from a set of groups (see Reiter, page 16, 3rd paragraph, last sentence). This jondo alias conceals the sender's identity from the receiver, and the receiver's identity from the sender when the receiver is a member of the group.
- 10. Reed is silent on the matter of the network address being encrypted.

 However, sensitive data is conventionally encrypted to prevent non-authorized users from accessing the information surreptitiously. As an example, Schneier teaches means to share a secret using a threshold scheme. This type of encryption requires that a certain number of key holders are necessary to decrypt

Art Unit: 2132

the message (see Schneier, page 71, Section 3.7, 'Secret Sharing'). It would obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to encrypt the stored network address in the routing table of each FA using a threshold scheme to enforce anonymous transmission. Motivation for such an implementation would secure sensitive information from eavesdroppers and prevent any one individual from reading the sensitive information as taught by Schneier.

11. Finally, both Reed and Reiter are silent on the matter of each FA having keys to perform digital signatures on documents. However, as taught by Schneier in a different section, digital signatures are the standard means to verify that messages transmitted from a host is in fact transmitted from that host. Furthermore, Schneier teaches that key signatures are standard procedures to digitally signing documents (see Schneier, pages 34-44, Sections 2.6-2.7, 'Digital Signatures' and 'Digital Signatures with Encryption'). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for each FA to have means for digitally signing transmissions. Motivation for such an implementation ensures the identity of the sender of a transmission. The aforementioned covers claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 2-14 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jung W Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-8289. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jung W Kim Examiner Art Unit 2132

Jk June 14, 2004

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2190